

Writing a best-effort portable code walker in Common Lisp

Michael Raskin, raskin@mccme.ru

Aarhus University → LaBRI, Université de Bordeaux

April 3, 2017

Code walking: what and why

Code walker

- a tool for code analysis and transformation
- enumerates all the subforms in the code

Why?

- Code is data is code (so why not)
- Metaprogramming: Write programs that write programs
 - · Macros
 - · Write programs that **rewrite** programs!

Is code walking used in Common Lisp?

- `iterate`

What else?

- `CL-Web`
- `CL-Cont`
- · `Weblocks`
- `hu.dwim.walker`
- · `local-variable-debug-wrapper`
- `macroexpand-dammit`
- · `sexml`
- · `fn`
- · `temporal-functions`
- `trivial-macroexpand-all`

- Some implementations include code walking libraries
- Papers with a placeholder for some implementation-specific function

This may be close to a complete list...

A use of code walking: fn

Why use a code walker: a small example

```
(fn* (+ _ _))      → (lambda (_) (+ _ _))  
(fn* (+ _ _1))    → (lambda (_ _1) (+ _ _1))  
(fn* (subseq _@ 0 2)) → (lambda (&rest _@) (subseq _@ 0 2))
```

```
λ(+ _ _1)          → (lambda (_ _1) (+ _ _1))  
from README of public domain fn library by Chris Bagley (Baggers)
```

Code walking is used to find argument names (not quoted symbols or function names or local variables)

Are there reusable code walking libraries?

Implementation-supplied libraries

- Implement exactly what they promise — correctly
- API differs

`hu.dwim.walker`

- Reader conditionals
- Bit rot in the code for some of the implementations
- Removes `macrolet` from code

`macroexpand-dammit`

- Portable
- Correctness problems
- · Some of them avoidable
- Removes `macrolet` from code

Is a portable code walker possible in Common Lisp?

Functionality we can specify: a `macroexpand-all` function

Like `macroexpand`, but also expand subforms

Should take a lexical environment object as the second parameter (for local macros)

Is a portable `macroexpand-all` function possible in Common Lisp as defined by ANSI?

No

Portable `macroexpand-all` function is impossible: environments

Macro expansion functions have access to lexical environment

Can use environment to call `macroexpand-1` on arbitrary forms

Very powerful feature — even more than it seems

Tricks with environment

```
(defmacro depth-limit (max &body body &environment env)
  (let*
    ((depth-value (macroexpand-1 (quote (depth-counter)) env))
     (depth (if (numberp depth-value) depth-value 0)))
    (if (> depth max)
        (progn (format *error-output* "Too deep.~%") nil)
        `(macrolet ((depth-counter () ,(1+ depth))) ,@body))))

(depth-limit 0 (list (depth-limit 1 :test)))

(depth-limit 0 (list (depth-limit 0 :test)))
```

No code-walking!

```

(macrolet
  ((with-gensym ((x) &body body)
    `(macrolet ((,x () ',(gensym))) ,@body)))
  (with-gensym (f1) (with-gensym (f2)
    (defmacro set-x1 (value &body body)
      `(macrolet ((,(f1) () ,value)) ,@body))
    (defmacro set-x2 (value &body body)
      `(macrolet ((,(f2) () ,value)) ,@body))
    (defmacro read-x1-x2 (&environment env)
      `(list ',(macroexpand-1 `,(f1)) env)
        ',(macroexpand-1 `,(f2)) env))))))

(defmacro expand-via-function (form &environment e)
  `',(macroexpand-all (quote ,form) ,e))

(set-x1 1 (set-x2 2
  (expand-via-function
    (set-x2 3 (read-x1-x2))))))

```

Portable correct environment handling is impossible

`macroexpand-all` doesn't see the names of temporary macros

Lexical environment: pass it as is or build a new one from scratch

You cannot create an entry with the name you do not know

Portable correct environment handling: ANSI CL and «Common Lisp: the Language» (2nd edition)

«Common Lisp: the Language» has functions to inspect and modify lexical environment objects — enough for code walkers

What the non-portable code walkers actually do: expand in the given environment, add new entries as needed when descending into special forms

An alternative option: inspect the initial lexical environment, build new lexical environments, put the entries extracted from the original environment there

In ANSI Common Lisp standard the lexical environment objects are almost completely opaque

More troubles: expanding standard macros

Let's expand the defun macro...

```
(defun f (x) x)

(progn
  (eval-when (:compile-toplevel)
    (sb-c:%compiler-defun 'f nil t))
  (sb-impl::%defun 'f
    (function (sb-int:named-lambda f(x) (block f x)))
    (sb-c:source-location)))
```

Using SBCL as an example — most implementations do that
Special operator function as described in the standard can't handle this;
portable walker needs to deal with different named-lambda symbol names
Unclear if the standard intended to allow this

Portable code walking is almost possible

Nobody¹ writes such code with expansions

- Apply heuristics to decide what environment to pass `defun` (and `defmethod`) can be hardcoded
- Not a complete solution — user could expand `defun` and use the result
- · Apply heuristics to guess what style of `function` extension is used

Agnostic Lizard:

A code walker

No reader conditionals

Works fine unless a combination of bad events happens

¹I did — for this talk

Enumerates forms and calls callbacks:

```
:on-every-form-pre  
:on-macroexpanded-form  
:on-special-form-pre  
:on-function-form-pre  
:on-special-form  
:on-function-form  
:on-every-atom  
:on-every-form
```



[gitlab.common-lisp.net/
mraskin/agnostic-lizard](https://gitlab.common-lisp.net/mraskin/agnostic-lizard)

Callbacks can replace the form

Accepts hints about the names, the hints are checked

In QuickLisp; also on GitLab.Common-Lisp.net

Agnostic Lizard anatomy

Three main classes: `metaenv`, `walker-metaenv`, `macro-walker-metaenv`

`metaenv`: basic walking context

- Used to define `metaenv-macroexpand-all`

`walker-metaenv`: the same, plus callbacks

- Code walking is implemented as `macroexpand-all` with callbacks and an option to replace forms in the process

`macro-walker-metaenv`: the same, plus support for recursive macro invocations instead of recursive expansion calls

- Environment handling fully correct
- Some limitations on functionality

Would be interesting to try applying to random code in QuickLisp

- Not sure how to check correctness

Callback interface

- I did use it for some call tracing
- · Had to expand it in the process...
- Feature requests are treated with gratitude as advice

Let's hope there are no new and creative defun expansion...

`macroexpand-all` and `with-augmented-environment` and more generic code-walking

- Can be used to implement each other
- Not much of a performance penalty

Environment inspection

- Enough to implement `macroexpand-all` etc.
- Transformation uses `eval` — may be costly
- More useful for other debugging tasks

Using macroexpand-all for environment modification

```
(defmacro with-current-environment (f &environment env)
  (funcall f env))

(macroexpand-all
  `(let ((new-x nil))
      (macrolet ((new-f (x) `(1+ ,x)))
        (with-current-environment ,(lambda (e) ...))))
  env)
```

Constructing an environment given entries

```
(defmacro eval-with-current-environment
  ((var) &body code &environment env)
  `(funcall (eval `(lambda (,var) ,@code)) env))
```

```
(defun with-metaenv-built-env (obj var code)
  (eval
   (metaenv-wrap-form
    obj
    `(eval-with-current-environment
      (,var) ,@code))))
```

Analyzing name roles in an environment

An operator in an environment can have:

- A visible global macro function
- A local macro function (possibly shadowing a global one)
- A local function shadowing a global macro definition
- None of the above — no definition, or a function (local or global)
- · Doesn't matter which

Variables and symbol macros are similar but simpler

Could we please agree on:

a common name **and package name** for `named-lambda`
(portable alternative using `labels` is in `alexandria`, a compiler macro could expand to the current expansion, but failing that...)

a common package name for `macroexpand-all`

- environment parameter handling can be checked

a common name and package for environment-inspection functionality

maybe just a common package name for «Common Lisp: the Language»
(2nd ed.) functionality not in the standard

- most implementations provide most of the functionality already, but package names differ

I don't ask to provide new functionality — just an alias for what exists

Just in case:

```
common-lisp-extensions:named-lambda
```

```
common-lisp-extensions:nfunction
```

```
common-lisp-extensions:macroexpand-all
```

```
common-lisp-extensions:list-environment-names
```

```
common-lisp-extensions:with-augmented-environment
```

```
common-lisp-extensions:with-parent-environment
```

```
cltl2:parse-macro
```

```
cltl2:function-information
```

```
cltl2:variable-information
```

```
cltl2:declaration-information
```

Thanks for the attention

Questions?