

# Delivering Common Lisp Applications with ASDF 3.3

## Pushing the Envelope or Therapeutic Fury?

Robert P. Goldman, *SIFT*

Elias Pipping, *FU Berlin*

François-René Rideau, *TUNES*

European Lisp Symposium, 2017-04-03

<http://github.com/fare/asdf2017/>

# This Talk

This Talk: A progress report on *ASDF*,  
de facto standard build system for *Common Lisp*,  
continued evolution of the tradition of *Lisp*,  
a language discovered, not created, in *1958*.

## Plan

Some Background

Recent ASDF Progress

Lessons for build systems in any language

# **Some Background**

# What makes ASDF different

DEFSYSTEM: compile & load "systems" *in-image*

C analogs: make, pkg-config, ld.so, libc

Primarily designed for CL code

ASDF: extensible in CL itself via OO protocol...

... can be made to build anything!

Big focus on backward-compatibility

*"If it's not backwards, it's not compatible"*

# Some History

<1981 Lisp Machine DEFSYSTEM (1976 Make)

1990 MK-DEFSYSTEM: portable, pre ANSI CL

2001 0.5 kloc ASDF 1: danb's OO build (2004  
1.1 kloc)

2009 2.0 kloc ASDF 1.369 struggling with issues

2010 3.3 kloc ASDF 2: robust portable configurable

2012 4.5 kloc ASDF 2.26: stable, one last issue...

2013 9.7 kloc ASDF 3: correct, delivers, UIOP

2014 11.3 kloc ASDF 3.1: CL as scripting language

2017 12.8 kloc ASDF 3.2: link C, launch-program

2017? 13.2 kloc ASDF 3.3: proper phase separation

# Current Limitations

Not declarative enough:

CL has ubiquitous global side-effects

One global set of system versions

One global syntax

Compared to bazel, missing:

cross-compilation, determinism, scalability...

## **New in ASDF**

# Previously on this show...

ASDF 3.1 (2014) ELS, ILC demos:

CL as a scripting language

Bazelisp (2016) ELS demo:

scalably build executables

with statically-linked C extensions

# 2017 Innovations

## **ASDF 3.2 (January 2017):**

Application Delivery with static C libraries

Asynchronous subprocesses with `launch-program`

Source Location Configuration improvements

Deprecation infrastructure

## **ASDF 3.3 (Real Soon Now 2017):**

Proper Phase Separation

# Application Delivery with static C libraries

## Previously

Extract functions & constants: `:cffi-grovel-file`

Compile & link wrappers: `:cffi-wrapper-file`

## New in ASDF 3.2 + cffi-toolchain (2017)

Plain C code to link to: `:c-file`

`cffi-toolchain`: one place to deal with C

Not (yet) a general-purpose C build system

Missing per-system compile and link flags

# Example system using C code

```
(defsystem "foo" :depends-on ("cffi")
  :defsystem-depends-on ("cffi-grovel")
  :serial t
  :component
  ((:cffi-grovel-file "interface-extraction")
   (:cffi-wrapper-file "complex-interfaces")
   (:c-file "some-c-code")
   (:cl-source-file "some-lisp"))))
```

# Loading a system

2001: `(asdf:operate 'asdf:load-op "foo")`

or "short" `(asdf:oos 'asdf:load-op "foo")`

2009: also `(asdf:load-system "foo")`

2013: also `(asdf:oos :load-op "foo")`

2014: also `(asdf:make "foo")`

# Making a binary

## ASDF 3.0 (2013): image-based delivery

devel. image `(asdf:oos :image-op "foo")`

standalone app. `(asdf:oos :program-op "foo")`

Any C extensions must be dynamically linked

## ASDF 3.2 (2017): with static C extensions

`(asdf:oos :static-image-op "foo")`

`(asdf:oos :static-program-op "foo")`

# Demo time!

```
(asdf:make "workout-timer/static")
```

# Asynchronous subprocesses

## **ASDF 3.1 (2014):** `run-program`

synchronous subprocesses (Unix *and* Windows)

exit status, optionally error out if not successful

I/O redir.: inject into stdin, capture stdout & stderr

## **ASDF 3.2 (2017):** `launch-program`

asynchronous subprocess (Unix *and* Windows)

exit status, waiting for processes, killing them

I/O redirection, interaction through streams

# Asynchronous Limitations

No event loop to which to integrate

No general signal support

Can make do with pipes and macros

Still *way* better than shell programming!

For more serious system programming: `ioLib`

It requires a C extension—but that's now easier!

# Source Location Configuration: Before

ASDF 1 (2001): push to `*central-registry*`

early in `~/.sbclrc` — repeat for each impl!

ASDF 2 (2010): declare hierarchical source-registry

`~/.config/common-lisp/source-registry.conf`

Inherit wider configuration, or override it, from CL...

or from shell: `CL_SOURCE_REGISTRY`, XDG vars

Default  $\ni$  `~/.local/share/common-lisp/source/`

ASDF 3.1 (2014), also `~/common-lisp/`

## Source Location Configuration: After

Recurring through large trees can be very slow

2015: `.cl-source-registry.cache` for a `:tree`

Regenerate with a standard `#!/usr/bin/cl` script:

```
asdf/tools/cl-source-registry-cache.lisp
```

Harkens back to ASDF 1 style symlink farms, but only for impatient power users with lots of systems

2015: also multical binaries with `cl-launch`

2016: expose interface to XDG base directory vars

XDG also on Windows, modulo ASDF adaptation

ASDF 3.2 (2017): the new release has it all

# Deprecation Infrastructure

`asdf:run-shell-command` was a *very* bad API

Use `uiop:run-program` instead, as per docstring

In 3.2, using it now issues a `style-warning`

In 3.3, full `warning` if used, **breaks** on SBCL

In 3.4, `error` if used, breaks everywhere

In 3.5, `error` if *not deleted yet* from codebase

`uiop/version` makes staged deprecation easy

Part of UIOP 3.2, part of ASDF 3.2 (2017)

# Proper Phase Separation

ASDF extensions: with CLOS. How to load one?

Using ASDF!

What if it itself relies on extensions?

Build in multiple phases.

What if an extension is modified?

Rebuild everything that transitively depends on it.

And what if a library is needed in multiple phases?

Only build it once.

# Improper Phase Separation

ASDF 1 had only two phases: plan, then perform  
(that was its least bug—see ASDF 2 & 3 papers)

If *defining* system `foo` depends on `ext`:

ASDF 1: `foo.asd` has `(oos 'load-op "ext")`

ASDF 2: `:defsystem-depends-on ("ext")`

ASDF 3: make it usable despite package issue

Kind of works. ASDF unaware it's recursively called

Across phases: extra builds, *missing rebuilds*

# Separating Phases

ASDF 3.3: loading the asd file is itself an *action*!

`define-op` — for *primary* systems.

Big tricky refactoring of `find-system`:

```
find-system > load-asd > operate > perform > load*
```

ASDF 3 had a cache: only call `input-files` once  
(its API functions define a pure attribute grammar)

ASDF 3.3 extends it to a multi-phase *session*

One `plan` per phase, a `session` across phases.

# Traversal of the Action Graph

Many kinds of traversals of the graph of *actions*:

ASDF 1: mark as needed, in this image

ASDF 3: mark as needed, in any previous image

ASDF 3: go thru all dependencies, e.g. to get list

ASDF 3.3: query whether up-to-date

ASDF 1: 1 bit (keep), plus "*magic*" (=bugs)

ASDF 3: 2 bit (needed-in-image), plus *timestamp*

ASDF 3.3: 3 bit (done), plus *phase*

# Proper Phase Separation: Incompatibilities

`:defsystem-depends-on` to systems in same file  
(as in the latest `io1ib` release)

`clear-system` inside `perform`  
(as in lots of systems that use `prove`)

`operate` in a CL file or `perform` method  
(temporary exception: `(require ...)`)

Now very bad taste: misnamed secondary system  
(used all over: once a `ASDF 1` colloquialism)

# Proper Phase Separation: How good are we?

Build extensions is a universal need

Most build systems (Make...): on par with ASDF 1

Language-specific builds can be greater (Racket...) but not general-purpose.

Bazel: non-extensible extension language

ASDF is on the bleeding edge!?

# **Lessons and Opportunities**

# Evolving ASDF

ASDF sucks—less

Amazing how much is done with how few klocs

Ceiling: CL's model of global side-effects

Impedes declarativeness, reproducibility, etc.

Evolution is costly (yet consider the alternative)

Gets worse as the code- and user- bases grow

Backward-incompatible change: takes 1-2 years...

Quicklisp: fix it all! And/or issue warnings and wait.

# Beyond ASDF?

The ultimate purpose of a build system is:

*Division of labor*

Opportunity for much a better build system.

What design is worth starting from scratch?

Core: Pure FRP, CLOS-style OO, versioning  
plus staging, virtualization, instrumentation

<http://j.mp/BuildSystems>

# Enjoy ASDF!

Common Lisp keeps improving, slowly:

AI, e-commerce, games...

Web, desktop or mobile apps—and now scripts #!

ASDF also keeps improving, slowly.

If there were demand, it could improve faster...

Donate to ASDF through the CLF!

<https://common-lisp.net/project/asdf/>